

2025 S.T. Yau High School Science Award (Asia)

Research Report

The Team

Registration Number: Math-039

Name of team member: Araadhya Jain

School: Charterhouse

Country: England

Name of supervising teacher: Tabrez Chandiwalla

Job Title: Mentor

School: POINTS EduLab

Country: India

Title of Research Report

Investigating Perforated Heat Sink Using Recycled Cast Blocks for Enhanced Thermal Performance

Date

14 August 2025

Investigating Perforated Heat Sink Using Recycled Cast Blocks for Enhanced Thermal Performance

Araadhya Jain

Abstract

Effective thermal management has become increasingly important in modern electronic applications due to the increase in power densities and the reducing the size of components, which requires more exotic heat dissipating technologies to guarantee system reliability and lifespan. Heat sinks remain the leading form of passive cooling, in which the complexity of the fin design must be increased to maximize the effectiveness of convective heat transfer. This research is investigating whether a perforated heat sink made from recycled aluminum waste may provide improvements in thermal management of electronics. In particular, it is investigating whether heat sinks made with circular perforated holes in an overall block of recycled metals will have as good of thermal performance as the conventional heat sinks machined from raw metal stock. The results of the experiments are verified and supplemented with detailed ANSYS simulations showing the heat transfer, fluid flow, and temperature distributions of both perforated and slab heat sink geometries with realistic boundary conditions and material properties. The results show that the recycled perforated heatsinks show thermal efficiencies that are similar to the solid heatsinks, although the temperature gradients and heat dissipation varied slightly. Utilizing recycled aluminum brings down material costs and environmental impacts and demonstrates the value of sustainable manufacturing processes applied to thermal management systems.

Keywords: Heat Sinks, Circular perforation fins, Heat transfer enhancement, Performance analysis

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the organizers of the Shing-Tung Yau High School Science Award for providing this platform to conduct and present our research. I am deeply indebted to my mentor, Mr. Tabrez Chandiwalla of POINTS EduLab, India, for his invaluable guidance, technical expertise, and encouragement throughout the course of this project.

I also thank Omotech for providing the recycled cast blocks used in fabricating the perforated heat sink, as well as Charterhouse for its continuous academic support. Finally, I am grateful to my family and peers for their unwavering support during the preparation and completion of this work.

Commitments on Academic Honesty and Integrity

We hereby declare that we


1. are fully committed to the principle of honesty, integrity and fair play throughout the competition.
2. actually perform the research work ourselves and thus truly understand the content of the work.
3. observe the common standard of academic integrity adopted by most journals and degree theses.
4. have declared all the assistance and contribution we have received from any personnel, agency, institution, etc. for the research work.
5. undertake to avoid getting in touch with assessment panel members in a way that may lead to direct or indirect conflict of interest.
6. undertake to avoid any interaction with assessment panel members that would undermine the neutrality of the panel member and fairness of the assessment process.
7. observe the safety regulations of the laboratory(ies) where we conduct the experiment(s), if applicable.
8. observe all rules and regulations of the competition.
9. agree that the decision of YHSA(Asia) is final in all matters related to the competition.

We understand and agree that failure to honour the above commitments may lead to disqualification from the competition and/or removal of reward, if applicable; that any unethical deeds, if found, will be disclosed to the school principal of team member(s) and relevant parties if deemed necessary; and that the decision of YHSA(Asia) is final and no appeal will be accepted.

(Signatures of full team below)

X Araadhya Jain

Name of team member: Araadhya Jain

X 

Name of supervising teacher: Tabrez
Chandiwalla

Noted and endorsed by



Name of school principal:

Dr A L R Peterken

Table of Contents

Title Page

Abstract

Acknowledgement

Commitments on Academic Honesty and Integrity

1. Introduction (Pg 7)
2. Methodology (Pg 8)
3. Calculations (Pg 10)
4. Results (Pg 15)
5. Conclusion (Pg 23)
6. Reference (Pg 24)

1. Introduction

Effective thermal management has become increasingly important in modern electronic applications due to the increase in power densities and the reducing the size of components, which requires more exotic heat dissipating technologies to guarantee system reliability and lifespan[1]. Heat sinks remain the leading form of passive cooling, in which the complexity of the fin design must be increased to maximize the effectiveness of convective heat transfer[5]. These among all the designs have gained considerable interest since their perforations interrupt the thermal boundary layer and enhance enhanced airflow through the fin structure, resulting in increased natural convection heat transfer rates and minimized thermal resistance [2].

The perforation geometry - circular, square, triangular, or wavy and their disposition on the fins (inline, staggered, lateral, or interrupted) significantly affect the performance of the heat sink. For example, Abdel-Shafi and Jassem [3-9] showed experimentally that circular and wavy perforated fins improved air turbulence, thus thermal dissipation, when compared to solid fins. Likewise, Sahin and Demir [4] discovered that perforated pin fins gave enhanced thermal performance with the added benefits of weight reduction and less material requirement. The occurrence of staggered or multi-row perforations can additionally enhance cooling efficiency by facilitating more distributed air flow and higher surface convective heat transfer [10-15].

Natural convection analyses verify that the incorporation of fine perforations enhances heat transfer coefficients and lowers base plate temperature over traditional solid fin designs [6-13]. Wadhah Hussein Al-Doori [13] demonstrated that circular perforations cause natural convection heat dissipation to increase from rectangular fins. Experimental results by Umesh and Pise [18] support the fact that perforation configurations and inclinations can be optimized in order to improve thermal performance, illustrating the importance of fin orientation and the position of fin holes in convective effectiveness. Moreover, Zan Wu [12] constructed models illustrating the beneficial effect of perforations on natural convection heat transfer from perforated plates, corroborating experimental findings.

Material choice plays a crucial role in augmenting geometric improvements in heat sink design. Recycling cast aluminum blocks as the heat sink substrate has become significant for its dual advantage of eco-friendliness and sufficient thermal conductivity [14]. Obaid and Hameed [11] experimentally and numerically validated that heat sinks produced from recycled blocks possess equivalent thermal performance as those from virgin materials,

particularly when paired with optimized fin perforation. The recycling of materials is consistent with international green production programs that restrict raw material usage and lower waste content without impairing heat dissipation efficiency [1-14].

Optimization research focuses on the interaction of perforation size, shape, pitch, and distribution to achieve optimum convective heat transfer and a minimum pressure drop [12-20]. For instance, Shaeri [15] discovered that lateral perforation arrangements perform better than straightforward direct perforations through enabling improved airflow and boundary layer disturbance. Elshafei [16] built on this by demonstrating that stacked perforations coupled with fin array design optimizations result in maximum heat dissipation in natural convection regimes. These results indicate a distinct design approach to achieving thermal improvement balanced by reduced weight and material expense.

2. Methodology

2.1 Materials and Sample Preparation

Recycled aluminum blocks were used as the input material to create heat sinks for this study. The blocks were drilled with round holes to create fin structures in a mechanical perforation process. Hole diameter, spacing and pattern were kept the same for the samples. Comparison testing was also conducted using standard commercially made heat sinks made with conventional aluminum alloy.




Fig1: Standard Heat Sink | Fig2: Aluminium Waste block | Fig 3: Perforated aluminum block

2.2 Experimental Setup

The thermal performance of the heat sinks under natural convection conditions was tested. The experimental assembly had the following elements:

- Two 12 V Positive Temperature Coefficient (PTC) heating elements pasted on pcb board using thermal paste.
- The heat sink was placed directly over the heating elements to mimic real-world heat transfer situations.
- The heating elements were powered and controlled using an STC-1000 temperature controller so that the surface temperature was held between 80–90°C.
- Two Negative Temperature Coefficient (NTC) thermistors were located in strategic positions: one at the heater's base (in direct contact with the heater) and another on the top surface of the heat sink for measuring temperature gradients.




Fig 4: Block diagram of Experimental Setup

2.3 Experimental Procedure

1. The STC-1000 was switched on by a power supply with a voltage of 15V and current of 4A
2. The heating elements were allowed to reach steady-state conditions at a target temperature of approximately 80°C, controlled via the STC-1000 controller.
3. Upon reaching this thermal equilibrium, power was auto cut off by STC-1000 controller, initiating the cooling phase under ambient, natural convection conditions.

4. The temperature data from the NTC sensors at the top and the base of the heat sink were collected for the entire cool-down duration (400 s).
5. The difference in temperature recorded between the top and base sensor was then used to analyze thermal transits and thermal behavior.
6. Each heating and cooling cycle is repeated for each type of heat-sink (No heat sink, perforated recycled aluminum and the regular heat sink) to achieve repeatability and equivalency.




Fig 5: Schematic of the experimental setup

3. Calculations

Symbol	Description
T_b	Base temperature of heat sink ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_{∞}	Ambient temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)

T_i	Initial temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
T_f	Final temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
k	Thermal conductivity ($\text{W/m}\cdot\text{K}$)
ρ	Density (kg/m^3)
c_p	Specific heat capacity ($\text{J/kg}\cdot\text{K}$)
h	Heat transfer coefficient ($\text{W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}$)
A_c	Cross-sectional area of the fin (m^2)
P	Perimeter of the fin cross-section (m)
A_s	Surface area of the fin (m^2)
m	Fin parameter (m^{-1})
η	Fin efficiency
Q_{fin}	Heat dissipated by one fin (W)
Q_{total}	Total heat dissipated by all fins (W)

Table 1: Nomenclature

3.1 Geometry and Material Properties

- Block Dimensions: $50\text{ mm} \times 50\text{ mm} \times 50\text{ mm}$
- Hole Diameter: 5 mm
- Hole Depth: 40 mm
- Number of Holes: 30
- Material: Aluminum
 - Thermal Conductivity, $k=205\text{ W/mK}$
 - Density, $\rho=2700\text{ kg/m}^3$
 - Specific Heat, $c_p=900\text{ J/kgK}$
- Base Temperature (T_b): 80°C
- Ambient Temperature (T_{∞}): 25°C
- Natural Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient: $h \approx 10\text{ W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}$

3.2 Fin Geometry and Heat Transfer Calculations

Each drilled hole is treated as a cylindrical pin fin:

- **Fin Radius (r):** 0.0025 m
- **Fin Length (L):** 0.04 m
- **Cross-Sectional Area (A_c):** $A_c = \pi r^2 \approx 1.9635 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2$
- **Perimeter (P):** $P = 2\pi r \approx 0.0157 \text{ m}$
- **Surface Area of One Fin (A_s):** $A_s = P \cdot L \approx 6.28 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$
- **Fin Parameter (m):** $m = \sqrt{h \cdot P / k \cdot A_c} = \sqrt{10 \cdot 0.0157 / 205 \cdot 1.9635 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 6.24 \text{ m}^{-1}$
- **Fin Efficiency (η):** $\eta = \tanh(mL) / mL = \tanh(0.2496) / 0.2496 \approx 0.981$
- **Heat Loss per Fin:** $Q_{\text{fin}} = \eta \cdot h \cdot A_s \cdot (T_b - T_\infty) = 0.981 \times 10 \cdot 6.28 \times 10^{-4} \times (80 - 25) \approx 0.0339 \text{ W}$
- **Total Heat Loss from All Fins:** $Q_{\text{total}} = 30 \cdot Q_{\text{fin}} \approx 1.02 \text{ W}$

3.3 Cooling Time Calculation

Mass of the Block: $m = \rho V \approx 0.274 \text{ kg}$

Using the energy balance:

$$dT / dt = -Q / (m \cdot c_p) \Rightarrow t = (m \cdot c_p / Q) \cdot \ln(T_i - T_\infty / T_f - T_\infty)$$

Substitute:

$$t = (0.274 \cdot 900 / 1.02) \cdot \ln((80 - 25) / (30 - 25)) = 241.76 \cdot 2.398 \approx 580 \text{ seconds} \approx 9.7 \text{ minutes}$$

Parameter	Value
Fin Efficiency (η)	98.1%
Heat Loss per Fin	0.0339 W
Total Heat Loss (30 fins)	1.02 W
Cooling Time (80°C → 30°C)	≈ 580 sec

Table 2: Summary of calculated results

3.4 Numerical Simulation

To support experimental findings, transient thermal simulations replicating the physical setup were conducted using ANSYS Workbench. Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows a detailed 3D CAD model constructed in SolidWorks of the experimental assembly, including the heating elements & PCB base and the heat sink geometry with perforations, heating elements and PCB base respectively.




Fig 4: CAD assembly including the heating elements and PCB base




Fig 5: CAD assembly including the heat sink geometry with perforations, heating elements and PCB base

3.5 Simulation Setup

The transient thermal simulation was performed in ANSYS to investigate the cooling performance of a heat sink assembly. The geometry consisted of a cubical aluminum heat sink ($50\text{ mm} \times 50\text{ mm} \times 50\text{ mm}$) resting atop a base plate of an FR-4 material. The aluminum block had a top surface with 30 equidistant vertical holes with a diameter of 5 mm and depth of 40 mm to be used as extended surfaces (fins) to assist in convective heat dissipation.

A heat flux was applied to the inner surface of a heating element placed at the boundary between the base and sink aluminum. The flux was calibrated to elevate the temperature of the element at a rate to increase the temperature from ambient (25°C) to 80°C across 40 seconds of heating. The heating cycle was modelled over 40 seconds prior to switching off the heat input to allow the system to cool naturally with ambient air (natural convection) for the remainder of the simulation (Total time: 540 seconds).

Parameter	Value	Unit
Initial Ambient Temperature	25	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
Final Temperature of Heating Zone	80	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
Heat Flux Duration	0–40	seconds
Cooling Duration	40–540	seconds
Material of Sink Block	Aluminum	—
Material of Base Plate	FR-4	—
Sink Dimensions	$50 \times 50 \times 50$	mm
Hole Diameter (Fin)	5	mm
Hole Depth	40	mm
Number of Holes	30	—
Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient (Natural)	~ 10	$\text{W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$

Simulation Type	Transient Thermal	–
-----------------	-------------------	---

Table 3: Input parameters for ANSYS simulations

4. Results

The thermal performance of different heat dissipation scenarios was examined using both ANSYS simulated measurements and physical measurements, shown in the following figures.




Fig 6: ANSYS transient thermal simulations for heating element under natural convection without heat sink

After 540 seconds of exposure to heat, the temperature distribution of the heating element (without a heat sink) shows a maximum temperature of 44.3 °C around the center-bottom region, where the heating element was in contact. The outside surfaces, especially the upper corners, cooled more significantly, reaching lower temperatures of 26.4 °C from natural convection. The heat remained more concentrated around the core longer because the surface area for convective dissipation was lower. The lack of extended surfaces also limited the heat transfer efficiency, particularly while cooling.




Fig 7: ANSYS transient thermal simulations for heating element mounted with perforated heat sink under natural convection

With the addition of perforated heat sink (as fins), the max temperature achieved at 540 seconds was noticeably lower at 28.6 °C, while the minimum was down to 25.1 °C. The perforations improved air circulation through the structure and surface area for natural convective cooling. Compared to the solid sink, the perforated geometry showed more consistent distribution of surface temperature gradients, and more rapid cooling over the same period of time. Overall, the results indicated that adding fin-like geometries can improve passive thermal performance.




Fig 8: Ansys reading for heating element with and without perforated heat sink under natural convection

The heating element in air heats up very quickly and reaches a maximum temperature of nearly 290°C after 60 seconds. It then starts to cool, but the cooling period is gradual, which indicates that the heat dissipation is very poor without a heat sink. The perforated heat sink is able to keep a peak reduction of ~90°C, with a significantly better controlled cooling curve. The perforated heat sink also suggests improved heat transfer by natural convection elements; the increase in convection and surface area provided by the perforations enabled the perforated heat sink to reduce temperature more efficiently than the solid heat sink without perforations. The experiments suggest that adding perforations to the heat sink significantly improved the ability to cool passively by natural convection. The perforated heat sink cooled to a peak temperature of approximately 15.7 °C lower than the solid heat sink configuration, after 540 seconds of cooling. These findings confirm the fin analogy for internal perforations and highlight the effectiveness of surface area enhancement strategies in thermal management systems.

The experimental results are given below:

The heating element is powered on to reach temperature 80°C and their time is noted then the power is auto cut by SCT-1000 temperature controller, once the power is cutoff the heating element overshoots to a certain temperature as given in Table 1 then starts to cooldown. Then cooldown temperature and time is noted for the heating element surface.

The readings for heating element without heat sink are as follows:

Reading Number	Cutoff Temp (°C)	Cutoff Time(sec)	Overshoot Temp(°C)	Cooldown Temp(°C)	Cooldown Time(sec)	Temp Differ(°C)
1	90	103	95	40	160	55
2	90	60	99.5	45	420	54.5
3	90	42	99.5	45	400	54.5
4	90	33	109	45	420	64
5	90	30	92	45	364	47
6	80	24	89.7	50	158	39.7
7	80	32	88.7	50	218	38.7
8	80	38	87.4	50	225	37.4
9	80	35	88.4	50	225	38.4
10	80	36	88.3	50	223	38.3

Table 3: Experimental reading for heating element without heat sink. Natural convection in air




Fig 9 : Plot results for heating element temperature change w.r.t. time

4.1 Base Only (No Heat Sink)

- Temperature Profile: The temperature remained highly elevated in the heated area during cooling, demonstrating ineffective heat dissipation.
- Peak Temperature: After 540 seconds, the highest temperature attained was around 44.3 °C, and the lowest temperature was about 26.4 °C.
- Thermal Gradient: A rapid thermal gradient was identified along the base, indicating limited heat distribution and reduced conductive and convective heat loss.
- Convection Limitation: Minimal exposed surface area was a definite factor for the effectiveness of heat loss via natural convection.

The readings for Standard heat sink are as follows:

Reading Number	Cutoff Temp(⁰ C)	Cut off Time(sec)	Cutoff Temp(Sink Top)	Overshoot Temp(⁰ C)	Overshoot Temp(Sink Top)(⁰ C)	Cooldown Temp(Sink Top)(⁰ C)	Cooldown Temp(Element)(⁰ C)	Cool down Time (sec)	Temp Difference(⁰ C)
1	80	34	45	88.3	55	42	45	394	43.3

2	80	36	37	86	55	41	45	407	41
3	80	60	45	88.6	57	41	45	462	43.6
4	80	51	46	89	56	41	45	448	44
5	80	28	47	88	57	42	45	531	43
6	80	42	46.5	81.3	80.4	50.7	50	219	31.3
7	80	48	75.8	81.7	80.2	50.5	50	224	31.7
8	80	46	75.1	81.9	80.2	50.3	50	224	31.9
9	80	49	75.5	81.5	79.7	50.2	50	224	31.5
10	80	49	75.1	81.1	78.9	50.3	50	230	31.1

Table 4: Experimental reading for Standard heat sink under natural convection




Fig 10 : Plot results for heating element with Standard heat sink temperature change w.r.t. time

4.2 Standard Aluminium Heat Sink

- **Temperature Distribution:** Overall, the solid heat sink resulted in more consistency across the block, as the temperature drop was more gradual and distributed compared to the base-only study.
- **Maximum Temperature:** The maximum temperature measured was 28.6 °C and the lowest temperature was 25.1 °C at the outer region.
- **Better Conduction:** The block did provide a significant amount of thermal conductivity to distribute heat more efficiently through the volume.
- **Convection Limitation:** Even with the better conduction ability of the block, the total rate of convective cooling was limited because of the reduced or non-extended surface area.

The readings for Perforated heat sink are as follows:

Reading Number	Cutoff Temp(⁰C)	Cutoff Time(sec)	Cutoff Temp(Sink Top)(⁰C)	Overshoot Temp(⁰C)	Overshoot Temp(Sink Top)(⁰C)	Cool down Temp(Sink Top)(⁰C)	Cool down Temp(Element)(⁰C)	Cool down Time(sec)	Temp Difference(⁰C)
1	80	40	53.5	81.8	54.3	52.9	45	262	36.8
2	80	42	53.6	81.8	54.2	52.7	45	263	36.8
3	80	42	53.4	81.7	53.8	53.3	45	254	36.7
4	80	39	53.8	81.8	54.2	52	45	293	36.8
5	80	42	52.7	81.6	53.1	53.5	45	254	36.6
6	80	116	78.4	82.5	82.1	56.4	55	575	27.5
7	80	102	78.2	82.7	81.8	56.3	55	565	27.7
8	80	102	78.1	82.7	81.8	56.2	55	570	27.7
9	80	100	77.7	82.7	81.2	56.1	55	556	27.7
10	80	101	77.3	82.7	80.9	56	55	563	27.7

Table 5: Experimental reading for perforated heat sink under natural convection




Fig 9 : Plot results for heating element with perforated heat sink temperature change w.r.t. time

4.3 Perforated Aluminium Heat Sink

- **Temperature Distribution:** Specifically, the perforations not only enhanced temperature uniformity, but also reduced the magnitude of hotspots across the heat sink.
- **Maximum Temperature:** The perforated configuration retained lower temperatures across the testing conditions as more outer surface area, within the heat sink, was exposed to ambient air and higher cooling rates.
- **Improved Surface Area:** The 30 perforated holes introduced internal surfaces, which provided further natural convection routes into and out of the heat sink. The exposed, outer surfaces, additionally helped to dissipate heat externally from the heat sink along with heat dissipating from inside the sink, effectively giving the heat more surfaces to radiate or convect heat from.
- **The most effective cooling:** The perforated configuration was able to initially lower temperature the fastest and have the closest operational temperatures to ambient conditions during the full cooling duration.

- Air movement: It is possible the perforated holes produced a mild dispersion airflow within the perforated structure that contributed to greater rates of natural heat transfer.

5. Conclusion

This study investigated the thermal behavior of recycled aluminum block heat sinks, with emphasis on the role of internal perforations in natural convection mode. ANSYS simulations and experimental tests presented similar trends verifying the validity of the findings observed in the study. The control case, a heating source that was running without the presence of any heat sink experienced dramatic temperature accumulation and dissipation behavior. Short heat loss times with low steady-state temperatures and fast cooldown times were caused by the lack of long surfaces and minimal surface convective area. However, the conventional aluminum heat sink enhanced heat spreading because of the extremely high thermal conductivity of aluminum. Although this design lowered peak temperatures and prolonged the cooldown time compared to the base only situation, the performance was comparative to the custom heat sink. The internally perforated aluminum heat sink from drilled recycled cast blocks significantly surpassed the base only and showed closer results to that of the conventional heat sink. Incorporation of the internal cylindrical perforations not only enhanced the effective surface area but also facilitated passive airflow through the structure, thereby enhancing natural convection. The design had the lowest peak temperatures for the entire heating cycle, greatest rate of cooldown, and most symmetrical temperature profile along the surface of the heat sink.

These findings support the hypothesis that internal perforations are similar to fins, with considerable active thermal enhancement using very little material or weight addition. Also, the successful incorporation of recycled aluminum cast blocks proves the ability of green material practices in thermal management systems, particularly for passive or low-power electronics applications.

In short, perforated heat sinks provide a cost-effective, eco-friendly, and high thermal performance improvement solution. Even further optimization of the design by adjusting hole diameter, spacing, and orientation can be utilized to tailor cooling performance for particular applications, where they are a good candidate for next-generation heat dissipation technologies.

6. References

1. Hayder Mohammad Jaffal, "The Effect of Fin Design on Thermal Performance of Heat Sink" Journal of Engineering Number 5 Volume 23 May 2017.
2. Maha Ali Hussein, Mohammed Ibraheem Makhoul "THE EFFECT OF FINS PERFORATION AND MATERIAL TYPE ON THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF A HEAT SINK UNDER NATURAL CONVECTION" The Iraqi Journal For Mechanical And Material Engineering, Vol.18, No.3, Sept.2018.
3. N. Y. Abdel-Shafi "EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE THERMAL PERFORMANCE OF A HEAT SINK WITH PERFORATED WAVY FINS" Journal of Engineering Sciences, Assiut University, Vol. 37, No. 3 pp. 605-620, May 2009.
4. B. Sahin and A. Demir, "Thermal Performance Analysis and Optimum Design Parameters of Heat Exchanger Having Perforated Pin Fins", Energy Conversion Management, 49, pp. 1684-1695, 2008
5. Seri Lee "OPTIMUM DESIGN AND SELECTION OF HEAT SINKS" Eleventh IEEE SEMI-THERM Symposium 1995.
6. O.N. Sara et al. / Energy Conversion & Management 41 (2000) 1019-1028.
7. Dr. Ali A. F. Al-Hamadani, Dr. Abbas Jassim Jubear "Numerical Simulation of Natural Convection Heat Transfer from Interrupted Rectangular Fins" Journal of Engineering, 21 October 2015.
8. Abdullah H. M. AlEsa "Augmentation of Fin Natural Convection Heat Dissipation by Square Perforations" Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Automation (2012).
9. Raaid R. Jassem "EFFECT THE FORM OF PERFORATION ON THE HEAT TRANSFER IN THE PERFORATED FINS" Academic Research International May 2013, ISSN: 2223-9944
10. Shivasheesh Kaushik, Vinay Sati, Dr. Anirudh Gupta, Kavita Puri "Experimental Analysis between Rectangular Solid Fins with Different Circular Perforated Rectangular Fins under Natural Convection" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 2278-0181 Vol. 4 Issue 05, May-2015.
11. Ali J. Obaid, Vinous M. Hameed "An experimental and numerical comparison study on a heat sink thermal performance with new fin configuration under mixed convective conditions" South African Journal of Chemical Engineering 44 (2023) 81-88

12. Zan WU, Wei LI, Zhi-jian SUN, Rong-hua HONG “Modeling natural convection heat transfer from perforated plates” Wu et al. / J Zhejiang Univ-Sci A (Appl Phys & Eng) 2012 13(5):353-360.
13. Wadhah Hussein Abdul Razzaq Al- Doorri “ENHANCEMENT OF NATURAL CONVECTION HEAT TRANSFER FROM RECTANGULAR FINS BY CIRCULAR PERFORATIONS” International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering 4(2011) 428-436.
14. Hamdi E. Ahmed, B.H. Salman, A.Sh. Kherbeet, M.I. Ahmed “Optimization of thermal design of heat sinks: A review” International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 118 (2018) 129–153
15. M.R. Shaeri, M. Yaghoubi , K. Jafarpur “Heat transfer analysis of lateral perforated fin heat sinks” Applied Energy 86 (2009) 2019–2029.
16. E.A.M Elshafei “Natural convection heat transfer from a heat sink with hollow/perforated circular pin fins” Mansoura Engineering Journal,(MEJ), Vol. 34 No. 4 December 2009.
17. Al-Damook, A, Kapur, N, Summers, JL et al. ”An experimental and computational investigation of thermal air flows through perforated pin heat sinks” Applied Thermal Engineering (2015), 89. 365 - 376. ISSN 1359-4311
18. Umesh V. Awasarmol, Ashok T. Pise “An experimental investigation of natural convection heat transfer enhancement from perforated rectangular fins array at different inclinations” Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science 68 (2015) 145–154.
19. Pinar Mert Cuce, Erdem Cuce “Optimization of configurations to enhance heat transfer from a longitudinal fin exposed to natural convection and radiation” International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies 2014, 9, 305–310.
20. Mohammad Reza Shaeri, Tien-Chien Jen “The effects of perforation sizes on laminar heat transfer characteristics of an array of perforated fins” Energy Conversion and Management 64 (2012) 328–334.